

HARTWICK COLLEGE STUDENT SENATE
Minutes: October 28, 2008

Senators Present: Blea, Brennan, Gray, Jones, Madzar, Meyering, Montefusco, Pearson, Post, Pringle, Schultz (Eric), Schultz (Victor), Shipman, Thomas, Vachon, Woskoff

Senators Absent: Bassett, Rubio, Spahr

Senators Late (15 min. +):

Senators Leaving Early (Before meeting has adjourned): Damus

Passage of Minutes: Jones: motion to approve the minutes from last week
-ESchultz: seconded
-Motion Passes

Committee/E-Board Reports:

- *Vice President, Rudy:*

- o Rudy: for my report, I'd like to start out with a little bit of housekeeping. I want to remind everyone that in Senate, one of your obligations is to be here from 8 to 10, you're expected to be here for that whole 2 hours. If we run over, we can excuse you if you have a conflict. For instance, I have something that I need to be present for and I'll be leaving before 10PM. I'm going to get an absence on the attendance sheet. That's going to count against me. There's been a couple that have been leaving early. That's not acceptable. If you can't be here till 10PM you might want to come and talk to us about that. The other thing is that for arriving late, if you're more than 15 minutes late it will count as an absence unless you contact us ahead of time. Last week we had a bit of a problem of people talking out of turn. I'd like you all to wait. It's also extremely helpful to Sam; it's helpful for him to hear me say your name. Please raise your hands before you speak and I'll call on you in the best order I can, as I see it. From this point on, if you're talking out of turn I'll probably gavel you down. That was a couple housekeeping thing. For my own duties, we've been working on the Thanksgiving Day baskets. You should have received an e-mail from Beyond Boundaries. We're accepting donations from clubs, students, faculty—you'll receive an e-mail from secretary Roods either tonight or tomorrow. If you're interested it's a great cause, doesn't cost that much to do it. That's about all I have for my report.

- *Finance Report, Treasurer Utterback, A.T. Negrich:*

- o We had three gas things, one reallocation, there are four SMRs tonight.

- *Senate Affairs, Coordinator Fiteni :*

- o We have three constitutions to approve tonight

- *Secretary, Roods:*

- o Nothing to report

President's Report:

- Forst: to pick up where we left off after last meeting. I spoke to Ric Accordino in regards to issues about wick-it cards and people who work overtime. I was told Table Rock employees do get time-and-a-half for any time over 40 hours. That's in accordance with state law. In terms of the tablerock cards, any concerns you might have—keep checking your community page through blackboard it should tell you where the money is coming from. If you have problems, you should go to Tech services. It's not some systemic issue that's been occurring with a lot of students.
- Forst: other issues I'll bring up in new business, we'll talk about that in a little bit. Also, I'd like to welcome everyone who is a guest. This is the bring your friend to Senate night. I'm glad to see you all here, I hope you learn a little bit about senate, the things we do. If you want to provide any feedback, just because you don't have a green placard doesn't mean you don't have a right to speak. I'd like to thank you for being here, and thank you to senators who brought your friends and strangers and what-not.

SMRs

- CAP \$995
 - o CAP will be producing the Apple Tree come spring. It will be a completely student produced/directed/designed musical. The theatre department is not producing a musical, so this should be good. What I'm SMRing for is the rights to do the show. It can be kind of expensive. It costs \$65 per show. We will be doing three performances. This will be in conjunction with the scholarship day. This will be one of the events on the schedule and part of that day. The second item that you see is a security fee to make sure they get the scripts that they loan to us back. This 400 dollars is fully refundable. Should I get the money, you would be getting it back. The stipulation is that this money will not go into the CAP account, but back into the Senate account. Any questions?
 - Jones: are you going to be charging to get into the show?
 - CAP generally doesn't charge. We may depending on the client that we see, that will come out of the e-board. 2 dollars? 5 dollars?
 - What's the Apple Tree about?
 - o It's a musical, its three parts. It moves to the present day and looks at how things go back to those first few days. It's a musical; it's a lot of fun. What would you pay now?
 - o Post: how about Hartwick students are free?
 - That's something we've done in the past, a few dollars for non-Hartwick students and anybody with a Hartwick or SUCO ID card gets in for free.
 - Montefusco: you could also have a donation can also.
 - VSchultz: this is CAP that is putting it on? Are you getting academic credit for this?
 - Yes and no. It will be a lot of work but it will be a lot of fun, too.
- o
- Finance Committee recommends the full amount with the stipulation that

- **VSchultz: Motion to approve the full amount with the stipulation that the 400 dollars will return to the Senate pool.**
 - **Seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**

- Amnesty International (200):
 - We're a club on campus that's new as of last spring. Basically we represent human rights and protecting them throughout the world, from being thrown into jail unjustly. You may have seen us tabling today for women's rights. What we're motioning for this time is try to go to the regional conference for the Amnesty International chapter. Our local chapter is in Massachusetts. We have 10 of our people who want to go. It's basically a conference where there are a bunch of guest speakers. They try to get the campus involved in the human rights activities and have people be more involved with it. We're going to send people there. We have the registration fee. If we do it now, its 20, if we wait and do it at the door, it will be 30. We decided we're going to drive ourselves because the Senate vans are not available. We're only going for one day so we don't have to worry about hotels.
 - Vachon: will you receive training that you can use back here at Hartwick?
 - That's the plan; it's a bunch of workshops. It will spread the human rights message
 - Meyering: you have 10 people who are definitely going to go? What if you have more people—they decide later on.
 - They'll probably have to pay themselves, then. It came up quickly and remained within the club. We have 10 people that can go.
 - Finance Committee Recommended the full \$200
 - **Montefusco: motion to pass the full amount**
 - **Blea: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**

- Senate \$100
 - Rudy: with the Thanksgiving Day baskets. Sam's committee and I are working on the Senate portion of it. What we thought of for an incentive to get more clubs and students involved is to take, for every club/department/whoever. We'll put their names in a basket, have a raffle at the end, and then they'll win 100 dollars. This is just to get more people involved.
 - Finance Committee recommended the full 100 that the money goes into the club agency account.
 - Rudy: the reason why we decided to not to keep it just to clubs is that a lot of departments are interested in doing it. Staff members have come to me. I'm pretty sure Aramark wants to do something. I would have to guess in a situation with that, they wouldn't want to take the 100 dollars, but I would recommend we don't do something like that because of what happens if the department has it.
 - Utterback: we just wanted to make sure that it didn't go into the club Senate account.

- Pringle: the departments are interested regardless? Why would we be paying the department for something they're already interested in?
 - Roods: what if it's just a single student who wants to make a basket.
 - Meyering: I feel this would provide an incentive.
 - Jones: I say if it's a single person throw them in the raffle.
 - Pringle: I would agree with them. If the Poli-Sci department does it I wouldn't want to give them 100 dollars.
 - Utterback: can we logistically give it to a non-student organization or non-student? We can't pay for faculty members on trips.
 - Fiteni: there are no rules.
 - Rudy: with any other sort of event where we give things out, we don't give the stipulation that it's a student receiving it.
 - Green: I think that departments are going to do it regardless. If student life I suggest "why don't we let that money go back to senate, or use it towards a family for another basket".
 - **Jones: motion to pass the full amount and let the committee decide what you want to do with it.**
 - **Meyering: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
 - **Jones**
- Fair Trade Club: \$224
- We're having a Fair Trade fashion show. This year, we'd like to suggest which products you should buy and what is affordable to students on Hartwick campus. We've received free outfits from "No Sweat" we're borrowing outfits from Da Vida on mainstreet. Since its coming from the student activity fee we plan on raffling them off at the end. They're really good prices, we plan on having a really good turnout because the models we chose are from different demographics on campus. We have a football player, a theater major, someone from different clubs. We have 8 different models and we're going for 10.
 - We plan on having people pay to enter the raffle to fundraise for the next show. We agreed on 2 dollars for one ticket and 3 dollars for two?
 - Post: who keeps the dresses?
 - Whoever wins the raffle. We have pictures for people who want to know which they want, and have them put in for that before the show.
 - Finance Committee recommended the full amount. It's a good way to fundraise and get their club out there.
 - VSchultz: what's the current pool for this semester?
 - Utterback: not including tonight, \$14,996.21
 - **Jones; motion to pass the full amount**
 - **Meyering: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**

-

Old Business:

- Post: Saxton Halloween party has been moved back to 9.
- Forst: as I said before, some of the things I would have brought up in my presidents report I wanted to bring up in Old Business. One of those things was the proposal submitted by Senator Blea. We took it in E-Board and we thought it would be in the best interests that we bring it to senate. There was considerable debate, what are the risks involved—if by moving it back to 9 we've really changed anything in terms of the risks. Just to give you a summary of the discussion, one line of it was that moving it back doesn't change anything. You're still going to need students to come down at 9pm and let you in. Yet, it allows you entrance into 9, you're only allowing more students in and increasing the risks of students from other buildings from being in the building after 7PM. Are the conveniences equal to the amount of risks associated with that?
 - o Post: if you put it that way, then why have our key cards go into any other building.
 - o Thomas: I know that as hall council, our meetings are in Hilltop at 9:15. There are times when I've had to run from a class and had to wait for 20 minutes. In those instances having to be allowed in. When I've left my card at Holmes, you have to wait for however long it takes. In that sense, there are people who have that problem.
 - Blea: I remember the time it came up—was putting it back to quiet hours a danger because we wouldn't see people coming in. even then, people are seeing what's going on, people are seeing whose going in. People will still see that person. It does add a convenience that you don't have to run down to the main entrance.
 - Pringle: also, it does add convenience to the people waiting outside. I think it adds convenience. If you live in Saxton, you have to run down 4 flights of stairs to the front door, by that time you've already let someone in. This would cut out all of that.
 - Jones: I believe we do have to remember that even though before 7 right now, you still have to have a key card in order to use the building, but it's going to be a student. I think it's also safe to put back the time to allow students to get into the dorms if they are in a situation outside that they don't want to be in. It's good to make it a safe haven for students.
 - Post: is it still up to safety to make the ultimate decision? So they could still say no?
 - o Forst: a proposal that is approved by senate speaks very loud.
 - o Pringle: along the lines of people that are not students are more likely to get in before 7 because there are people going in. That's when the people that you don't want coming in. No one questions someone. People don't question those things, that's when you have the biggest problem with that. This would just add to that. You'd still have to have the key card. There is less likelihood that things would be taken from rooms and dorms. It's the times when people are not in their

rooms that things are likely to be taken that crimes or bad things are likely to be committed.

- If someone really wanted to get into a building, that's not going to stop. Changing the times is not going to stop. If they really want to get in, they can get in. It won't make that big of a difference.
- Forst: would anyone like to make a motion to approve this?
 - **Pringle: motion to approve that we're still going to do this**
 - **Thomas: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
- Forst: going back to old business. One of the concerns put in by students was the disappearance of the laptop program. I spoke with President Drugovich. The answer I got is that the program is under the microscope on whether it is wasteful or beneficial. She's charged Dean Hunsberger and Davis Connelly to find a way to cut the cost in half, and still maintain academic charges. No decisions have been made—it's all pending. One of the reasons I'm bringing this to the senate is that our duty as student reps are to represent what the feeling is on this. I open this up to discussion.
 - Blea: I just don't see how it's wasteful to the college when the students are paying for this—they're going to end up laptops anyways.
 - Forst: I asked the same question, "where did you hear it was wasteful"
 - The response I got was "I've heard students giving away their laptops to siblings and moms and dads" That's why I'm opening it up to the students. If you don't feel that way, what-not.
 - VSchultz: working in admissions I've gotten several questions and a big concern that I hear often "what kind is it? Is it good? Is it state of the art?" Is that also being looked at? Obviously our HP are nowhere near the new Macbook Pros that are out. So, would it be in the college's best interests to allow—like so many other colleges do—to allow students to purchase their own laptops. Would it be more convenient for the college to open that up?
 - Jones: what if the college allowed students to opt out of the program.
 - Roods: maybe those students who opt out can't use Tech Services.
 - Utterback: they didn't want to see it go away because they think it's a good way for students who can't afford laptops to still pay. If you already have one. My friend, her Hartwick laptop sits in her desk since she bought it. As far as opting out of being able to use Tech services. At this point, they don't fix anything on your computer anyway. You need tech support that they'll put on Sygate or whatever.
 - Blea: our primary concern is Hartwick laptops. We do offer services to other student's computers—we charge them a fee, it wouldn't be covered under warranty. That would stay the same. The big issue with allowing other students to bring their own laptops is that the software package would not be the same. While we could fix their computers provided they would be able to pay for it, we wouldn't be able to give them programs.

- Pringle: they just totally replaced my computer, and it was a Hartwick one.
 - Utterback: no, they don't fix non-Hartwick computers.
 - Pringle: is there also an issue in feasibility because of bulk purchasing—if they cut the prices in half for all warranties and everything so in the end everyone gets more?
 - Meyering: going back to your point, Senator Blea. Being wasteful. As you may know, my parent is a faculty member here. I still have to pay for my computer. I believe the laptop program is integral to Hartwick. I don't believe that if it were taken away, there are not enough computer labs on campus to allow that.
 - Fiteni: I have three points overall. First, the E-Board remember from last year that _____ had said to us specifically that none of these buildings have the power and/or networking to have a lab in it. This laptop program was to disappear, there wouldn't be able to have any more labs.
 - Also, the tech services thing—I agree with things being said. We may not have to add sygate—it may be gone.
 - Also, I will show you personally with my own laptop. I'm in favor of making it optional. I know Microsoft will provide in a large package, "you're a college, you want to have your students to have the same office suite." They'll provide the entire suite. You can use this as long as you mass license it. This is a solution. I'm in support of making it optional. It would help those who have their own computers.
 - Coming in as a freshman, I'm the oldest and they don't really know a lot about computers. It was really pushing. A lot of schools are going towards laptop initiatives. You're moving backwards in technology.
 - VSchultz: going back to what Senator Meyering said, opting out would still retain the draw, but people with the Macbook Pros still have the option of using those, and tech services will be here to deal with it. That's their job. That's what they're here for. Can we write a proposal?
 - Forst: in terms of an opt-out program, it would affect the price of the laptops—if

more students opt out, the cost of each individual laptop would go up and who knows. However, one of the things is how connected is the laptop program beneficial to actual academics. I've never had to bring it to another class. I barely take it out of my room. I still think the laptop program is a useful thing for all programs. I hold off on making a huge decision and I would look forward to bring in people to discuss people's findings.

- Meyering: I'm a history/education major. For my teaching secondary education class, we had to prepare lesson plans regularly. We have to consult an online guide for NYS high school history teachers. My laptop has been very helpful and required for that class. A lot of my history classes require I bring it in for whatever reason
 - Depending on the cost increase, it may still be worth it for some people because they have the guarantee and security blanket. I'm a bio/chem. Major. Last week for the entire week we had to have our laptops in class. We had to have our own laptops. For some of us that need them, it is just.
 - VSchultz: I think we've all written a paper and done research through the entire spectrum. **I motion we table.**
 - Utterback: it wouldn't go up anymore than it would cost to make it go up any more than you would spend in a store. I know there's a program that is on every math major's computer. It's in class every time. Every business administrations programs will only run on a Hartwick computer. They will probably have to look
 - **ESchultz: Seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
- Forst: I wanted to remind all senators, one of the things we bring up consistently we bring up is the Student Activity fee. If you hear discussion, please bring it. It's something that's an ongoing debate. Please bring it here. It is a major concern for us.
- Woskoff: have you heard anything else about charging for printing and copying things we do?
- Forst: I can look into that, I haven't heard anything about that going into effect.
 - Woskoff: I heard some people who were upset about that.

- Fiteni: the last I heard, this idea was kind of shut down by faculty and staff. If they put stuff up on blackboard and it's a 20 page article. I don't think this exists anymore. I haven't heard anything about it in a long time.
 - Blea: I don't know what exactly is going on—the concept was that each student would get a quota for each semester. It would be an amount that was reasonable for an academic semester. Anything you printed over that would be charged to your student account—that was what the plan was. I'm not going on with that, I've asked a couple times and it could have well been shot down.
- Roods: do you know anything about Arnold Hall's green space?
 - Thomas: as far as I know about this "green space". Is it's really just a rain garden. We met with the planning people in charge of Arnold. We brought forth ideas. We were quickly told that we cannot stop the process even if we wanted to. We were shot down that we didn't have money and other random reasons which none of us bought. They're putting in the curbs. There's going to be a bus stop, the OBT will stop there and bring people downtown.
 - Roods: so its pavement around a green space? It's a road around a green space?
 - Thomas: and there will be parking behind the green space.
 - VSchultz: could you bring that up in your next meeting with the president?
 - Thomas: we've done that twice.
 - Forst: it's been brought up before, and the answer has been "oh, it's been considered and we're moving on that". I will bring this post-haste and what is being done once and for all.
 - Thomas: we don't have input, actually. It's frustrating. This will supposedly Ruben Rogers who is in charge—as of right now, it's supposed to be done by Election Day. There is nothing to be done.
 - Montefusco: what's a rain garden?
 - Thomas: a rain garden is you see how the ground kind of caves in? It's basically so we relieve stress so when we had that huge rain so nothing floods on campus.
 - Roods: so it's kind of like a glorified drain?
 - Thomas: sadly, more or less that's what it is.
 - Utterback: do you have any idea how big it will be?
 - Meyering: I just want to say, from Senator Thomas's description, is this the best we could do?
 - Thomas: it's not the best we could do but its what was implemented. We were told that there was no definite plan, but there was. For LEED certification, that produces a point. There are different things that adds up points.
 - VSchultz: one of those points was that this was going to be a "green space". They define a "green space" as a pot of flowers then something needs to be addressed there.
 - Pringle: is it going to be a bunch of grass going down into a point?
 - Thomas: what I was told is that there was grass and if we're lucky we'll get a bench or two.

- Fiteni: I don't know what you're looking for in terms of green. When they build a "green space" all they're required to do is do exactly what they're doing. When they do planning, it's been 5 or 6 years ago. Our issue—and I know people will disagree—the students 5 or 6 years ago should have spoken up. There's nothing we can do. I think everyone is getting frustrated. It's 5 or 6 years ago and there's nothing we can do. I know this, I've seen the process for buildings. It doesn't work.
- If it's actually looking like a glorified drain, I know this is stupid. Hartwick happens to have a beautiful view. If you're standing at the top of Binder and you see a bog, will that really have a student get here?
- Blea: I know my frustration personally doesn't come necessarily with the fact that you understand things happen and you can't change them. They told us that we would have a say—they created a class and told us we would have a say. Now they say "no, whoops, sorry". They're just pulling the wool over our eyes.
- Utterback: I think the big issue is that this is happening during the switchover of presidents. Maybe she thought she had a say and was not aware that Miller had these plans so set in stone. It's probably—he made it the way it was going to be and if they didn't speak up 5 years ago. She tried to give a last ditch say, clearly that wasn't possible. She should have probably gotten a sure thing before she gave false hope for people.
- Pringle: are we going to come up with something we're going to say?
 - Is there anyone higher up that we could move to?
 - Forst: in terms of the discussion, and coordinator Fiteni brings up a good point, before we were even freshmen. It is disheartening to feel that we can't have a say and can't change what's going on. The next time I see President Drugovich. I will bring up this concern that this issue was not handled in the best way. If there was some case that this was made 5 or 6 years ago, then why continue with the idea that we could. Perhaps there is something we can do in the future. Perhaps there's something we can do now and do this to the future. We can maybe take the ideas of this class. Maybe we can move on and move forward. I'll bring up that concern.
 - Montesfusco: could we possibly, once they're all done, us as a student body could go and plant flowers and maybe change that. If we can't do anything now, we can always do something in the future.

- Forst: that could be the best course of action. We as representatives get the word out about what we need to do about student input.
 - Utterback: the first step is “a. did the train definitely leave the station and b. if it did, how will the space look like and maybe make that an initiative—getting class gifts put towards that. If one class put up a bench or a pond. One class maybe made a garden. It could be a way a class could make it a better space.
 - Meyering: Senator Thomas and I did participate in a sit-in at the board of trustees last semester. We did it, we were heard. Heard and discarded, but heard.
 - Forst: are there people higher up? Yes the board of trustees. Would they be able to anything? No.
- Gray: as far as the heating issue, res life and maintenance are working together. They’ve come to the conclusion that windows have been open. Holmes hall has been the pilot program. We’re going to make it mandatory that all rooms close their windows. They’ll go around with heat guns and measure the temperature.
 - Montefusco: I have been getting these e-mails about how we should shut our windows. If the buildings weren’t so hot, we wouldn’t be opening our windows.
 - Gray: the problem is that buildings run on sensors. When the windows are open, the sensors say its 30 degrees in the room. It’s also by floor, so the whole floor goes up.
 -

New Business:

- Meyering: I’d like to add to what VP Rudy. If you leave senate early, you’re denying students the right for you to have your voice heard. I think it’s kind of disrespectful to leave early. It’s an honor to be a senator.
- Forst; Senator Thomas and Meyering surveyed and created a list of things that they got from their constituencies. There are a lot of things that need to be gone over. If you are a hall council rep, you should do something similar. You can talk to them, about how to do that, please bring those lists so we can compile them and handle those issues appropriately.
- ESchultz: there has been a concern brought up about the number of guest passes for meals. The allotted time to have a guest on campus is 72 hours. Two meals a day, that would be 6 guest passes. I’m under the assumption that its 2.
 - Thomas: I thought it was 2, but 4 if you have the unlimited meal plan.
 - Negrich: this was brought up last year at our food services. It was two for everyone, they did raise it to four for the unlimited plan and 2 to everyone else.
 - Forst: we, as everyone else, can define meal plans. We can work with Aramark to develop a meal plan system. If you have a lot of guests to the commons, you can accommodate that. I would encourage people to discuss that.
 - Pringle: I still don’t see why we can’t use—if we have a set number of meals—why we can’t use one of our meals for someone else? It’s my food.

- Woskoff: it would be great if you could do that—when they do the prices for that meal plans, they're assuming they don't use all your meals. They assume you're not going to eat all 150 so they price it that way. If you eat all your meals, you're beating the system.
- Fiteni: adding on, if you have unlimited, they only plan that you eat 2 meals a day. What happens is if they overplan they waste too much food. They underplan all the time, this way they save money. It's a money mechanism.
- Montefusco: could we look into getting window alarms on first floors of buildings and maybe common spaces? The lounges and laundry areas? I'm not saying in rooms, just in lounge spaces.
 - Fiteni: what brings this idea up?
 - Montefusco: we're from Van Ess.
- Thomas: I just noticed from living in Oyaron and Hilltops. There are frequently faculty and staff parking in our lot. I don't see why faculty and staff can park in our student lots and not have any repercussions.
 - Montefusco: they do ticket the teachers. My professor came in complaining the other day. It might not always be in effect but they sometimes ticket professors.
- Thomas: there are those 15 minute parking spaces, but no one ever parks there for 15 minutes. Is there a way to change that?
 - Fiteni: I have a thing saying they're going to say "the cost of steel is really expensive and they don't want to change the signs again"
 - Forst: you can buy the steal tabs and paste the numbers on there.
 - Utterback: I'm sure people park there longer. I think the purpose is if you just wanted to grab your mail or register for class. In theory you could have 3-4 cars cycle through in an hour.
 - Forst: there needs to be stricter enforcement. No one should be parking in handicapped spaces.
 - Pearson: don't park in the Pine Lake spot. The shuttle moved and we missed it, and were stuck here for three hours. Tell your friends, I will tell on whoever's car is in that spot. When you leave and its 7 o'clock and you're here till ten. Tell your friends not to park there.
- Thomas: when you're coming up by Shineman. I don't know if there's anyway to make that safer. I'm always afraid a car is going to come out and hit me. You can't see someone backing up because they're hidden by the car in front of them.
 - ESchultz: the stop sign on the street leaving Oyaron and Hilltops is twisted. When you're leaving you can't really see that.
 - Utterback: do you mean by getting hit as a car driving up?
 - Yes
 - Utterback: then that's people not looking. In my car, I can see all the way down the road.
 - Thomas: when I back up, I can't see anything.
 - Pringle: sophomore year I was hit there. And I was in my room.
- Rudy: Coordinator Fiteni has a few constitutions that we will be going over tonight.
- Fiteni: the process of this is that we look over to see if you have anything to disagree with. Generally, you make sure we've caught everything. There are a couple of legality issues that have come up. First up is Nursing Students Without Borders. I'll move slowly down the page as we read through. They are requesting that their president be a nursing major. This is an issue because this club is supposed to be open to the entire campus.

- Rudy: typically people who would want to run for president would make sense to be a nursing major. I wouldn't want to limit it.
 - Fiteni: but if it is not a nursing major does that create a problem?
 - Vachon: for the president being a nursing student, it would make sense because the club is nursing students without borders. They are involved every day with the nursing major, they know what's going on. If opportunities arise, they can transmit that to the club.
 - Meyering: I rely heavily on my e-board on how best to work with the club. Just a wild guess, I'm sure that NSWB would have some nursing students in there. Even if he or she was not a nursing student, he could rely on their advice.
 - Pringle: does HCASN require that their president has to be a nursing major?
 - Rudy: no it does not.
 - Pringle: why break president?
 - Shipman: if they only have people interested in being president that weren't nursing majors they would just have to bring it back to us and there would be more work.
 - Utterback: are the actually going places and performing abroad? If you're going and treating you would have to be guaranteed at least a nursing major. There would be at least one.
 - Woskoff: is there any clause that one of the officers has to be a nursing major rather than limit it to the president.
 - Thomas: I feel that officers should be more inclusive than exclusive. I would like to be able to be an officer. This would give people who weren't nursing majors the opportunity to understand what they do. I feel that limiting that to nursing majors is kind of exclusive and their advisor will be a nursing professor and if need be teach them the things they need.
 - **Roods: motion to remove the sentence "this officer must be a nursing student"**
 - **VSchultz: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
 - Rudy: we have been specifically asking clubs not to say that there advisor was going to be specific faculty departments. I would recommend removing that
 - Utterback: it sounds like it's more than an advisor. I feel like if they're performing medical things, there advisor should be a medical professional.
 - Rudy: I would say that it is normally better to leave it broad because as a club I would hope they would understand that that is what they need for guidance. I would recommend that since faculty members change, they don't want to put them in a situation where they're in violation of their constitution. I would recommend that they're leaving it broader and follow their own guidelines when looking for an advisor. They could arbitrarily choose that.
 - Vachon: could it say the advisor "must have medical knowledge" because their nursing students without borders, they're going to be traveling.

- Pringle: an appropriate prequalifications?
 - VSchultz: if you're going to say that, why not keep it nursing faculty?
 - Pringle: what if it were another person on campus, another type of healthcare provider? There are other, non-nursing professionals?
 - Post: what qualifies them as having prequalifications? I say keep it.
 - Meyering: what qualifies someone to be a medical professional?
 - Blea: we should leave it broad. The clubs would choose someone with proper medical background.
 - Rudy: to give you an example, last year there was a religious club who had a stipulation that their advisor needed to be—I think a reverend or something of that nature. That person moved out of Oneonta and that club was in violation of their constitution.
 - **Roods: motion to remove that statement “made up of members that are nursing faculty (at least one) and nursing students”**
 - **Blea: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
- **Thomas: motion to approve the constitution Nursing Students Without Borders with the stipulation that we use the edits made by Senate**
 - **Vachon: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
- **Tablerock Records:**
 - Forst: I think it needs to have in addition with all college policies, the language the committee can come up with, not only would I say changing “will be asked to leave the organization” and add a stipulation that they will have action from the college.
 - Rudy: what if we say “he or she will be held responsible as well as asked to leave the organization”
 - Forst: I would say “any misconduct”
 - Rudy: when you say something specifically about an elected position, if you put a stipulation on it—it’s more likely than not that you won’t have anyone fill that position. If it’s something that you guys wish, we would recommend that it’s an understanding with your club and not something included in your constitution. That clause about the advisor—we wouldn’t be able to pass because we would be in violation. I would recommend that you remove the sentence “do to the value of much of the property used by the club, eligibility for the presidency will be determined by the faculty advisor”
 - **Madzar: motion to remove that sentence.**
 - **Blea: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**

- Forst: **Motion that in terms of all votes that the wording be changed to specifically identify what vote you need to carry—if it's a simple majority, if its 2/3, simply “democratic vote” is not appropriate. All clubs must specifically define what type of vote they want used in their constitutions.**
 - **VSchultz: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
- Utterback: this is a question for the club. How is this any different from the managers who have the recording equipment already?
 - It's going to be run very similar to the Mic over at SUCO. Except for instead of being a record label it will include the ability to record people as well.
 - Meyering: back to you guys, can you explain what the Mic is. I understand they have Red Dragon Records
 - The MIC is the Music Industry Club. They find talent on campus and off campus, they basically promote the bands.
 - Utterback: but are you aware there is a club that has recording equipment and they just got all brand new recording equipment last year and are already on campus.
 - We have a recording studio on campus.
 - Thomas: in relation, you guys would be the MIC and Red Dragon Records.
 - Jones: I think the managers just provide the equipment and the MIC provides and promotes the bands.
 - The managers provide equipment for events on campus. As far as I know they haven't produced any records.
 - Utterback: they have state of the art recording equipment. There plan is to record bands on campus and produce CDs on campus.
 - Tablerock can use manager's equipment to promote things. You supply and they work it.
 - Utterback: so is the recording studio in Anderson okay with them using your recording equipment? Is the main purpose of your club to record records on campus?
 - The main point and it may have changed slightly from what is written down originally. Basically the point is to promote bands, find talent on campus, and promote campus bands. And to record them, if needed.
 - Utterback: is Anderson okay with using your equipment to record? They weren't okay.
 - Madzar: the difference is their music majors. ___ is not. That's the difference.
 - Rudy: I think there are some discrepancies. We may have to have a meeting with Coordinator Fiteni. **I would like to move that we table**

this discussion until that can happen. Otherwise, we are going to run into problems in the future.

- **Seconded**
- Jones: I would also say faculty who are in control of the studio as well.

- **Motion Passes**

- **Pringle: I would like to make an amendment stating that a faculty member from the music department be present for this meeting, to approve usage of the equipment by non-music majors.**

- **Seconded**
- **Motion Passes**

- Forst: A meeting is prudent is that one club may not knowingly be created and have a purpose that can be put under another. We don't want to have that happen, as it is a waste of time and it is unfair to both clubs. Moreover, it's better that we have it all on paper. It's all put down on paper, its all clear on the books, and what purposes are laid out. It's better to do that in a meeting.
- Rudy: for example, WRHO and the Managers are choosing with themselves to make themselves stronger
- Fiteni: we're stepping on a dangerous ground. If we're eliminating clubs based on what exists. If we do this we're stepping on dangerous grounds. We can't limit clubs because a similar person may link into another club.
 - Forst: I think what we're doing is that one club is not created with the purpose that they intend and then later on there's a club that has a similar purpose. We want what's best for Tablerock records when they're created and we want what is best for other clubs. That's what we're doing; we're not trying to figure out ways to merge clubs.
 - Blea: we're not eliminating their clubs; we're getting them the ability to clearly define their purpose in relation to the managers. Then we'll understand fully what's happening.
 - Pringle: my whole thing was that the managers had been specifically told that non-music majors can never use the studio.
 - ESchultz: this discussion has been tabled, I'd just like to point that out.

- **NERF Constitution:**

- Post: can we change that to a majority vote of the club?
 - Forst: I'm not concerned about the vote. I think it should be able to be brought up whether its 5 people or 1 person.

- Post: confidence is capitalized.
- Meyering: article 5, removal of members. The second members. If you're seen making contact with another member in a violent way you must run around the building twice. Let's say I'm playing on a beach—and there's no beach, will I have to hop in my car and run around the building?
 - Pringle: motion to amend the building to “area of play”
 - Post: we went over this in committee. A lot of things in these are like “rules”. It's up to them if members are making violent contact with another members, they can make it up. We don't have specific rules.
 - Forst: I would urge members of the committee to change this; I suggest that they do that so we're not amending every single line about rules.
 - **Utterback: motion to remove all rules from the constitution and let that be up to the discretion of the club**
 - **Post: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**
 - Post: can we delete “these people shall be away for more than two weeks—such as j-term and vacation and summer.
 - Forst: I think the club meetings are up to the discretion of the club.
 - **Thomas: motion to pass the constitution with the changes and grammatical adjustments**
 - **Post: seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**

Announcements:

- Roods: I'd like everyone to know that if you want to put together a thanksgiving basket—which is a great charity—contact myself at roodss@hartwick.edu, Joanna Rudy at RudyJ@hartwick.edu, or Beyond Boundaries.
 - Thomas; is the deadline November 2nd and is that Sunday?
 - It's just to get intent; you don't have to have the baskets done by then.
- Meyering: November 4th, go out and vote if you haven't already. I can't tell you how. But if you don't vote, I'll beat you up.
- **Pringle: motion to adjourn**
 - **Seconded**
 - **Motion Passes**

Senator Thomas:

"A **rain garden** is a planted depression that is designed to absorb rainwater runoff from impervious urban areas like roofs, driveways, walkways, and compacted lawn areas. This reduces rain runoff by allowing storm water to soak into the ground (as opposed to flowing into storm drains and surface waters which causes erosion, water pollution, flooding, and diminished groundwater). Rain gardens can cut down on the amount of pollution reaching creeks and streams by up to 30%" On the surface, a rain garden looks like an attractive garden. It may

support habitat for birds and butterflies, it may be a formal landscape amenity or it may be incorporated into a larger garden as a border or as an entry feature. What makes it a rain garden is in how it gets its water and what happens to that water once it arrives in the garden.“